

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexasdra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                  | FILING DATE                   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/552,473                       | 10/07/2005                    | Sebastian John Corlette | 4412-16             | 2188             |  |
| 23117<br>NIXON & VA              | 7590 01/05/200<br>NDERHYE, PC | 9                       | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR |                               |                         | GUPTA, VANI         |                  |  |
| ARLINGTON.                       | , VA 22203                    |                         | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                                  |                               |                         | 3768                |                  |  |
|                                  |                               |                         |                     |                  |  |
|                                  |                               |                         | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                                  |                               |                         | 01/05/2009          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)                       |                   |
|--|--|------------------------------------|-------------------|
|  | 10/552.473   | 0/552.473 CORLETTE ET AL.          |                   |
| Notice of Abandonment  | Examiner   | Art Unit                           | L.                |
|  | VANI GUPTA   | 3768                               |                   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication   | _  |                                    | ress              |
| This application is abandoned in view of:  |  |                                    |                   |
|  | te of Mailing or Transmission date<br>me of month(s)) which expi | d), which is after the e<br>red on |                   |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final re application in condition for allowance; (2) a time Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance wi | ely filed Notice of Appeal (with app                             |                                    |                   |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not c<br>final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111.  |  |                                    | , to the non-     |
| (d) No reply has been received.  |  |                                    |                   |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (P   |  | le, within the statutory period of | of three months   |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, which is after the expiration of the statu Allowance (PTOL-85).                            | e, was received on (with a                                       |                                    |                   |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A b   | palance of \$ is due.  |                                    |                   |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$  | The publication fee, if require                                  | ed by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$        | _                 |
| (c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable,  | has not been received.   |                                    |                   |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings a<br/>Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>   | as required by, and within the three                             | e-month period set in, the Noti    | ice of            |
| <ul> <li>(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on<br/>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> </ul>                           | (with a Certificate of Mailin                                    | g or Transmission dated            | _), which is      |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  |  |                                    |                   |
| <ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed<br/>the applicants.</li> </ol>  | by the attorney or agent of record                               | , the assignee of the entire in    | terest, or all of |
| <ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed<br/>1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>                  | by an attorney or agent (acting in                               | a representative capacity unc      | der 37 CFR        |
| <ol> <li>The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and II of the decision has expired and there are no allower</li> </ol>                      |  | d because the period for seek      | ing court review  |

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly field to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

1.3. Patert and Telembas Office.

/Vani Gupta/

Examiner, Art Unit 3768

/Long V Le/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3768

Application No. 10/552,473

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: Applicant/Attorney has not filed a response prior to 6-month date (12/24/2008). Therefore, Examiner called Attorney on the next business day (12/29/2008) and left a voice message to confirm whether any response has been filed or not, and that there simply has been a delay or error in entering response in PALM.

As of 1/2/2009, Examiner still has not heard from Attorney. The most likely scnario is that Attorney is away for the holiday season. However, Examiner assumes that if Attorney/Applicant wanted to file a response, he/she would have done so already. Additionally, as of 1/2/2009, there is no listing of fees in PALM that would indicate that Attorney/Applicant has filed a response, and that there has been delay or error on the Office's part in entering appropriately entering the response.